Report - OXCART A-12 Aircraft Experience Data and Systems Reliability

23 September, 1966



DIRECTORATE of SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Office of Special Activities

Handle via BYEMAN controls

for secret

BYE-2737-66

INTRODUCTION

This document contains experience data of the OXCART A-12 as of 31 August 1966 which we believe justifies its operational readiness status with a high degree of confidence.

OXCART TOD SECRET


BYE-2737-66

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | A-12 Fact Sheet |
|----------------|---|
| 2-3 . | Experience Record |
| 4-5. | Flight Development Stages |
| 6. | Function of A-12 Inlet |
| 7. | Inlet Picture |
| 8-9. | A-12 Sorties and Profiles Above Mach 3.0 |
| 10-11. | Cumulative Time At Mach 3.0 and Above |
| 12-13. | Detachment Aircraft Average Mach 3 Hours Per Flight |
| 14-15. | Detachment Flights Sortie Effectiveness |
| 16-17. | Inlet Sortie Reliability Trend |
| 18-19. | Engine Sortie Reliability Trend |
| 20-21. | Navigation System Reliability Trend |
| 22-29. | INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM |
| 30-31. | Auto Flight Control Sortie Reliability Trend |
| 32-33 . | Hydraulic System Sortie Reliability Trend |
| 34-35. | "Other" Systems Reliability |
| 36-37. | Summary - Premature Terminations |
| 38. | Camera Systems |
| 39. | Camera Performance |
| 40. | Electronic Warfare System |
| 41. | Aircraft Flight EWS Reliability |
| 42-43. | SYSTEM RELIABILITY |
| 44. | BLACK SHIELD Validation Record |
| 45 . | BLACK SHIELD Schedule and Validation Flight Success |
| 46-47. | SHARP BLADE (2 Air Refueling Mission) |
| 48-49. | SCATBACK (2 Air Refueling Mission) |
| 50-51. | ROSE DELTA (3 Air Refueling Mission) |
| 52 - 53. | A-12 Aircraft Accident Reliability |
| 54 . | Engine Reliability |
| 55. | J58 Engine (Abort) Reliability for Engine Cause |
| 56. | Operational Success Risk Summary |

BYE- 2737-66

A-12



| | AIRFRAME DATA | ENGINE DATA | PERFORMANCE | | | | |
|---|---------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. LENGTH: 99 FEET 2. SPAN: 56 FEET 3. WEIGHT (BASIC) 52,700 LBS. 4. WEIGHT (FUELED) 122,500 LBS. | | 1. TWO P&W JT11D20A AFTERBURNING TURBO- JET WITH BYPASS 2. 'MAX. THRUST: 32,500 LBS. 3. OPERATING LIMIT: MACH 3.2 @ 100,000FT. | (STANDARD DAY) 1. SPEED: MACH 3.2 (1860 KNOTS) 2. ALTITUDE: 87,000+ FT. 3. RANGE: 3600 NM W/O AIR REFUELING, (CURRENT OBJECTIVE) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

OXCART TOP SECRET

BYE-2737-66

EXPERIENCE RECORD

AIRCRAFT

| First Flight Total Flights Total Hours Total Flights at Mach 3.0 Total Hours at Mach 3.0 Longest Flight at Mach 3.0 Speed - Max Altitude - Max | 26 April 1962 2078 3186:39 485 244:39 3:50 Mach 3.29 90,000 ft. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| J-58 ENGINES | | | | |
| Total Engine Flights Total Engine Hours Total Engine Flights at Mach 3.0 Total Engine Flight Hours at Mach 3.0 Total Ground Test Hours Total Mach 3.0 Environmental Ground Test Hours Total 150 Hour Qualification Tests | 5194 8370 1914 939 23,111 6505 | | | |
| INS | | | | |
| Total Flights Total Flight Operating Hours Total Operating Time | 1081 2361 30,754 | | | |
| SAS - AUTO PILOT Total Flights Total Flight Hours | 2433 3690 | | | |
| Total Operating Hours <u>CAMERAS</u> | 35,271 <u>I</u> <u>II</u> | | | |
| Total Flights Total Flight Operating Hours Total Flights Above Mach 3.0 Total Hours at Mach 3.0 Longest Flight at Mach 3.0 | 145 59 109 49 43 33 39 33 1.5 2.0 | | | |

BYE-2737-66

PILOTS (6)

Average Pilot Experience
Average Total Flight Time
Time in A-12
Time in Project
Average A-12 Flights

14.2 Years
3751 Hours
241/297/339 Hrs.
3.2/3.4 Years
186

LIFE SUPPORT

Total Suit Flights (Detachment) 1480

EWS

Total Flight Tests 53

DETACHMENT

Activated
Time in Training as a Unit

Average Time in Project (Personnel)

1 October 1960
January 1963
*(36 months)
36/40 months

*Detachment 1, 1129th began training as a unit coincident with delivery of first aircraft (trainer) in January 1963. Prior to that it had been supporting LAC flight test effort.

$\frac{OXCART\ A-12\ AIRCRAFT}{INVENTORY}$

Operational Aircraft 7
Two-Seater Trainer 1
Flight Test Aircraft 2

FLIGHT DEVELOPMENT STAGES

The single most important problem pacing the flight development (opposite page) of the A-12 has been the air inlet and its control system. This system which provides the proper amount of ram air to the engines at all flight conditions must minimize shock expulsions (unstarts), automatically recover (restart) when shock expulsions do occur, and at the same time operate at optimum efficiency in order to maximize engine performance and aircraft range. The notations under development stages I through IV A all refer to problems and components of this system. Resolution of these has lead to a reliability commensurate with the operational readiness established in December 1965.

Fuselage Station 715 Joint Beefup (Stage IV B) involved strengthening fuselage structure at the wing joint because of heavier electronic warfare systems payload weight requirements.

OXCART

BYE-: 2737-66

FLIGHT DEVELOPMENT STAGES

- I. Mach 2.35 (To July 1964)
 - A. Duct Roughness at Mach 2.4
 - B. Unacceptable Restart Capability
 - C. Inlet Instability and Unstarts
- II. Mach 2.8 (July 1964 March 1965)
 - A. Inlet Mice Corrected IA
 - B. Aft Bypass Incorporation Corrected IB
 - C. Inlet Instability and Unstarts Still Encountered
- III. Mach 3.0 (March 1965 August 1965)
 - A. Spike Static Probe and "J" Cam Inlet Control Improved IIC But Did Not Correct Condition
- IV. Mach 3.2 (26 August 1965 20 November 1965)
 - A. Retrofit to Lockheed Electronic Inlet Control. Corrected IIC.
 - B. Fuselage Station 715 Joint Beefup
- V. Operational Alert (December 1965 On)
 - A. Operational Capability
 - B. Aircraft Performance Optimization and Envelope Extension

FUNCTION OF THE A-12 INLET


A supersonic inlet or air induction system is designed to provide best possible aerodynamic performance over a range of supersonic mach numbers with a stable and steady flow of air to the engine. However, due to constraints imposed by supersonic aerodynamics, truly optimum performance with an ideal shock pattern and an inlet airflow exactly matched to the engine airflow requirement can only be provided at one flight Since the OXCART aircraft must cruise for considercondition. able periods of time at a Mach 3 speed, maximum possible range is realized by providing this optimum inlet performance at the Mach 3 cruise condition. The basic geometry and airflow characteristics of the inlet are then varied to provide a minimum compromise of aerodynamic performance and efficiency at lower flight speeds. Some of this needed flexibility is provided by varying the position of the inlet spike. the airflow which can be admitted by the inlet is in excess of that which can be accepted by the engine at other than the design condition, this excess airflow is dumped overboard through a series of forward bypass doors or passed down the nacelle airflow passage around the engine through a series of aft bypass doors.

In addition to those airflow passages shown on the accompanying sketch, a system is also provided for bleeding off the low energy boundary layer air which forms along the surface of the spike. This improves inlet efficiency by making the entire main inlet flow passage available to the high energy, high velocity air.

A rather complicated automatic electronic control system senses aerodynamic environment to provide the proper scheduling of spike and forward bypass door positions at all flight conditions. Aft bypass door positions are selected manually by the pilot.



BYE- 2737-66



7

BYE-2737-66

A-12 SORTIES/PROFILES ABOVE MACH 3.0 - DETACHMENT AIRCRAFT

This chart depicts a breakout of those Detachment sorties flown between 25 March 1965 and 1 September 1966 wherein the A-12 aircraft flew above Mach 3.0. The profiles column lists the number of times the aircraft accomplished the high/fast operational profile during the sorties flown in the period, i.e., high and fast after takeoff, descend for air refueling, climb back up to high and fast again, etc.

The A-12 major/minimum modification program got underway in the latter part of August 1965, sorties flown during the period outlined in Section A were in non-modified aircraft.

All sorties/profiles listed on the chart were flown without a major malfunction or incident which would have precluded continuance on the high/fast operational type profile.

- DETACHMENT ACFT/SORTIES A-12 SORTIES AND PROFILES ABOVE MACH 3.0

(through 31 August 1966)

Profiles

Sorties

| | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------|----------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|--|--|
| | | | 22 | | | 335 | | | 392 | • | |
| | | | • | | | • | | | • | flight above Mach 3.0 on 25 March 1965 by aircraft 128 | |
| | | | • | | | • | | | • | بد | |
| ı | | | • | | | • | | | • | raf | |
| | | Ο) | • | | 83 | • | | 4 | • | irc | |
| | | 52 | • | | 212 | • | | 264 | • | લ | |
| l | | | • | | | • | | | • | by | |
| | | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | 965 | |
| | | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | 16 | |
| | | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | rch | |
| | | • | • | | • | • | | | • | Ma | |
| | | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | 25 | |
| | | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | nc | |
| | | • | • | | | • | | • | • | 0 | |
| | | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | <u>ო</u> | |
| | | • | Ø | | • | Ñ | | • | Ø | ıch | |
| | | ies | ilė | | ies | ile | :: | ies | ile | Ma | |
| | | Sorties | Profiles | | Total Sorties | Total Profiles | Aug 66) | Total Sorties | Profiles | оле | |
| | ` | | | | ŭ | <u>~</u> | gn | Ñ | <u>Ч</u> | abo | |
| | | Total | Total | | ta1 | tal | 31 A | tal | Total | ht | |
| | 65: | To | To | .99 | To | To | 3 | To | To | lig | |
| | | | | - 1 | | | 65 | | | | |
| | Au | | | Au | | | 19 | | | -12 | |
| | 31 | | | 31 | | | Ma | | | t A | |
| | 1 | | | | | • | 25 | | | en. | |
| | 65 | | | 65 | | | A | | | chn | |
| | lar | | | Ing | | | nar | | | eta | |
| | 25 Mar 65 - 31 Aug | | | 31 Aug 65 - 31 Aug | | | Summary (25 Mar | | | Ă | |
| | [7] | | | | | | | | | First Detachment A-12 | |
| | Α. | | | B. | | | င် | | | Fi | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

OXCART TOP_SECRET All of above listed sorties and profiles flown without major incident or malfunction


which would have precluded continuance high/fast profile.

BYE-2737-66

CUMMULATIVE TIME AT MACH 3.0 AND ABOVE

The rate of accumulation of Mach 3.0 time as shown by the slope of the curve (opposite page) began to substantially increase in March 1965. Prior to this time, Mach 3.0 flight was confined to the three flight test aircraft only. After March 1965 each of the seven detachment (operational) aircraft as they completed necessary modifications began to fly at Mach 3.0 and above on a routine basis.


The significance of this data is that during the past seventeen months since 25 March 1965, 229 flight hours at Mach 3.0 and above have been accumulated as compared to only 15 Mach 3.0 hours accumulated during the three years from first flight in April 1962 to 25 March 1965. This is a ratio of about thirteen to one.



BYE-2737-66

DETACHMENT AIRCRAFT AVERAGE MACH 3 HOURS PER FLIGHT


The chart opposite shows the average time spent at Mach 3 and above for each flight. It is based upon all 264 Mach 3 flights of detachment aircraft including the relatively short Lockheed and detachment operated functional check flights as well as the longer multiple refueling training flights and simulated missions. Prior to 25 March 1965 there were no Mach 3 flights on detachment aircraft. The peak of 1.28 Mach 3 hours per flight during the fall of 1965 reflects the validation or demonstration period wherein three refueling simulated missions were performed. January 1966 flight activity was substantially curtailed during the investigation of aircraft 126 accident with only some of the short functional check flights lasting a very few minutes at Mach 3. This is normal procedure after a period of inactivity wherein it is necessary to recheck all systems during short periods at Mach 3 prior to resuming the longer Mach 3 training flights. By spring 1966 a normal level of training activity was resumed reflecting about 3/4 hours at Mach 3 per flight. The current period comprises training flights with usually one refueling rather than the longer and more costly three refueling simulated missions performed during the fall of 1965 demonstration period.



BYE-2737-66

DETACHMENT FLIGHTS SORTIE EFFECTIVENESS

The chart opposite shows the trend of sortie effectiveness from a low of 25% in 1964 to the mid-seventies during the spring and summer of 1966. Each flight or sortie is rated either effective or not effective on the basis of all subsystems performing properly such that all planned objectives of the sortie were satisfactorily accomplished. total sorties flown are divided into the number rated effective to arrive at the percent effective figure. sorties rated not effective do not mean that all such sorties were prematurely terminated or aborted. Certainly all premature terminations or aborts which did occur are included in these data but along with those sorties which were completed and on which all planned objectives could not be accomplished. Premature terminations assignable to each subsystem are reflected subsequently under Subsystem Sortie Reliability. Hence the difference in Sortie Effectiveness and Sortie Reliability.




·,`

BYE-2737-66

INLET SORTIE RELIABILITY TREND

The chart opposite presents the inlet sortic reliability trend and indicates a general improvement of inlet reliability. For the period 21 November 1965 to 31 April 1966, only three of all attempted sorties were prematurely terminated due to problems with the inlet system. These three flights were prematurely terminated due to inlet unstarts or other problems associated with actuation or scheduling of the inlet spike and/or bypass doors. A slightly less reliable rate obtained over the period 1 May to 31 August 1966 during which six sorties were terminated out of 110 initiated, all for reasons similar to those mentioned for the period 21 November 1965 to 30 April 1966.



TREND

INLET SORTIE RELIABILITY

PERCENT SORTIES COMPLETED

OXCART TOD SECRET

17


BYE-2737-66

ENGINE SORTIE RELIABILITY TREND

The facing chart presents the engine reliability trend and indicates a general improvement to a very high current level of reliability for the engine of better than 99%. Of 231 sorties attempted in the period 21 November 1965 to 31 August 1966, only one sortie was prematurely terminated due to a problem with the engine. This engine problem occurred as a result of a failure in the system which injects fuel into the afterburner, specifically a loss of an afterburner spraybar threaded-end plug. An improved design which corrects this problem and provides a doubly redundant method of securing this plug has now been incorporated in all engines.

OXCART TOP SECRET

18



PERCENT SORTIES COMPLETED

OXCART TOD_SECRET

19


BYE-2737-66

NAVIGATION SYSTEM RELIABILITY TREND

The inertial navigation system sortic reliability trend, noted on the page opposite, has shown steady improvement. The system has not caused any premature termination of sortics flown since August 1965.

OXCART TOP SECRET

20



PERCENT SORTIES COMPLETED

OXCART TOP SECRET

21

TOP SECRET SECRET

BYE-2737-66

INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM

The following Inertial Navigation System performance charts (7) are plots by specific time periods of number of flights along the vertical scale versus specification ratio along the horizontal scale. The specification ratio is the actual distance error at the end of a flight divided by the allowable design specification error for the time period of the flight. A specification ratio of 1.0 or less means the flight was within the allowable specification limit.

Each chart indicates (a) the percentage of flights within a specification ratio of 1.0, (b) the specification ratio which includes 95% of all the flights for the time period noted, and (c) the percentage of flights included within a specification ratio of 4.0. For example, for Jan-Feb-Mar 1965, 56.2% of the flights were within a specification ratio of 1.0, 95% of the flights fell within a specification ratio of 3.5 and 94.9% fell within a specification ratio of 4.0.

A comparison of the charts indicates that the percentage of in-specification INS flights steadily increased during calender year 1965 reaching a maximum value of 91.2% for the Oct-Nov-Dec 1965 time period. This value decreased slightly to 88.3% during Jan-Feb-Mar 1966 and to 85.6% during April-May-June 1966. For the months of July and August 91.2% of the flights were within specification.

It should be noted that based on a component-by-component analysis of the INS, the best consistent performance that can be expected of the 330 INS is approximately 85% in-specification and any values greater than 85% should be viewed as being definitely above the normal expected performance.

It is also interesting to note that if all of the components in the 330 system performed within their respective tolerances, and if simultaneously all the tolerances were on the high side of the tolerance limits, the best INS performance attainable would only be 1.2 specification.

OXCART TOP SECRET

22


TOP SEGRETO OXCART

÷

BYE-2737-66


INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM

JAN-FEB-MAR 1965



INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM

APR-MAY-JUN 1965




OXCART TOP SECRET

...

BYE-2737-66

INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM

JUL-AUG-SEP 1965



OXCART TOP SECRET

1


-TOP SECRET OXCART

·~

BYE-2737-66

INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM

OCT-NOV-DEC 1965



OXCART TOP SECRET


TOP SECRET OXCART

Ç

BYE-2737-66


INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM

JAN-FEB-MAR 1966



INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM

APR-MAY-JUN 1966




OXCART TOP SECRET

BYE-2737-66

INERTIAL NAVIGATION SYSTEM


JUL-AUG 1966



BYE-2737-66

AUTO FLIGHT CONTROL SORTIE RELIABILITY TREND

The automatic flight control system sortic reliability trend has remained relatively steady at 99-100% level since March 1965. Only two sorties were prematurely terminated since early 1965 due to an automatic flight control gyro problem.




OXCART TOP SECRET

BYE-2737-66

HYDRAULIC SYSTEM SORTIE RELIABILITY TREND

The aircraft hydraulic system sortic reliability level has remained steadily high, between 98-100%, since March 1965. Two flights were terminated prematurely due to hydraulic system problems during the period 21 November 1965 to 31 August 1966, out of a total of 231 sortics initiated.



OXCART TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET


BYE-2737-66

"OTHER" SYSTEMS RELIABILITY

"Other" systems referred to cover a wide variety of systems and events. A detailed listing is contained on the page following the facing chart. It should be pointed out, however, that three sorties during the 1 May 1966 to 31 August 1966 period were prematurely terminated for precautionary reasons. The trend in premature termination presented for "other" systems appears to remain constant. Special emphasis is being placed on higher quality control and closer supervision to achieve improvement.

OXCART TOD SECRET

34



"OTHER" SORTIE RELIABILITY

OXCART TOP SECRET

35

TOP SECRET OXCART

BYE-2737-66

SUMMARY - PREMATURE TERMINATIONS

The opposite table first summarizes the prematurely terminated sorties assignable to each of the foregoing subsystem charts for the latest period examined from 1 May 1966 through 31 August 1966. The number of sorties initiated for each subsystem may differ because only the sorties on which that particular subsystem was used is counted. The engine, being used on every sortie, reflects the total number of 122 sorties initiated during the period.

"Other" includes all other premature terminations assigned to the indicated problems or components which are not part of the foregoing major subsystems examined.

Total premature terminations for the period 1 May 1966 through 31 August 1966 are 31 out of a total of 122 sorties initiated.

OXCART TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET OXCART

BYE-2737-66

SUMMARY - FOREGOING MAJOR SYSTEMS AND OTHER PREMATURE TERMINATIONS OF AIRCRAFT FLIGHTS

1 May 1966 Through 31 August 1966

Major Systems:

| 1. Inlet: | Unstarts, Spike, Fluctuations | 6 |
|---|---|--|
| 2. AFCS: | Right Yaw | 1 |
| | Major Systems Sub-Total | 7 |
| "Other" | | |
| 1-4 5-6 7-9 *10-11 12-13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 *23 24 | ARC-50 Cockpit Pressure Shorted SAS Connectors Fuel Reserve, Bad Weather Fuel Leaks Oil Pressure Indicator Air in Fuel Lines Spike Actuator Air Refueling Pressure Switch Hydraulic Pressure Indicator Oil Temperature Gauge Surge Box Contamination Runaway EGT Switch Misrigged Aircraft After Burner - Precautionary Electrical Power Interruption | 4 2 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |

*Precautionary

OXCART

CAMERA SYSTEMS

Type I cameras are built by Perkin-Elmer. There are two Type I "A" series cameras in the inventory and six Type I "C" series.

Type II cameras are built by Eastman Kodak. There are two of these in the inventory.

The first summation (opposite page) includes only test flights at Mach 3 and 80,000 feet altitude. The second summation includes all test flights since the beginning of the program.

Performance in general has been acceptable with the Type I exhibiting better resolution and the Type II having greater reliability.

OXCART TOP SECRET

38

TOP SECRET OXCART

BYE-2737-66

CAMERA PERFORMANCE

(As of 31 August 1966)

Test Flight Time*

Type I "A" Series Type I "C" Series Type II

792 Min. 1049 Min. 1649 Min.

*Only Flights At Mach 3 and 80,000'.

TOTAL FLIGHT EXPERIENCE

| Type | I "A" Series | Туре | I "C" Series | T | pe II |
|------|--------------|------|--------------|----|----------|
| 95 | Flights | 50 | Flights | 59 | Flights |
| 72 | Hours | 37 | Hours | 49 | Hours |
| 6 | Failures | 5 | Failures | 5 | Failures |

OXCART TOP SECRET

39

ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEM

| A brief f Systems follow | unctional s: | description | of the | Electronic | Warfare |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|------------|---------|
| BIG BLAST | | | | | |
| BLUE DOG | - | | | | |
| PIN PEG | - | | | | |

A redundancy exists between the recognition and jamming systems employed, thus giving a lower degree of vulnerability to the aircraft and accounting for the high degree (100%) of total system reliability. It appears at this time that the antenna problems associated with PIN PEG operation have been solved.

OXCART TOP SECRET OXCART

AIRCRAFT FLIGHT

ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEM RELIABILITY

100%

*ONE OR BOTH ECM SYSTEMS OPERATED ON ALL RUNS

(1) Failures caused by antenna problems.

41


SYSTEM RELIABILITY

The chart opposite summarizes three levels of reliability for each major system from 26 August 1965 through 31 August 1966. The first (red) barometer for each system reflects the percent of sorties completed safely by that system relative to the total sorties initiated for that system. The second or green barometer reflects the percent of the sorties initiated which were not prematurely terminated or aborted because of that system. The third (black) barometer reflects the percent of sorties initiated during which that system operated completely satisfactorily. Numerical figures used in the percentages are shown below each barometer.

"Interface" refers to the system listed to the left of "interface" and accounts for malfunctions which are not assignable as a fault of the system itself but which affected the system's overall operation. Typical examples are aircraft generated electrical power or cooling air interruptions to such systems as the cameras, navigation and stability systems.

OXCART TOD SECRET





TOP SECRET OXCART

43

BLACK SHIELD VALIDATION RECORD

The upper chart (facing page) indicates the number of BLACK SHIELD operational profile missions scheduled during validation period, and the number airborne, with effectiveness indicated as a percentage in the right hand column.

The lower chart indicates the number of airborne validation type operational profile sorties which accomplished the mission with reasons for unsatisfactory missions. Effectiveness is indicated in the right hand column.

These special BLACK SHIELD validation were conducted between 5 October and 20 November 1965.

OXCART TOP SECRET

44

TOP SECRET OXCART

BLACK SHIELD SCHEDULE AND VALIDATION FLIGHT SUCCESS

·:

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-------|
| SCHEDULE SUCCESS | | % EFFECTIVE | 100 | 100 | 83 | 100 | 93 |
| | | OTHER | | | | | |
| | CANCELLED | AIRBORNE MAINTENANCE | | | - 1 | | r-1 |
| | | AIRBORNE | വ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| | SORTIES | SCHEDULED | 5 | 3 | 9 | I | 15 |
| | | IRCRAFT | 126 | 127 | 128 | 130 | TOTAL |

| | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------|----------|-----|---|------------|----------------|-----|---|---------|
| | | | DERCENT | 90 | | 100 | | 09 | 00 | 100 | | 71 |
| | | | OTHER | | | | | p-1 | | | | |
| | | | ECM | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | COM NAV ECM OTHER | 7 | | | | 2 | | | | 4 |
| | UNSAT SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CESS | UNSA | | ACFT SYSTEM CAMERA | | | | • | | | | | |
| GHT SUCC | | | AIR- FRAME | | | | | | | | | |
| VALIDATION FLIGHT SUCCESS | | PROPUL- AIR- SION FRAM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 73.0.0 | μĭ | OTHER | | | | | | | | | |
| | Amroma | UNDALIBRACIO | MAINT | 2 | | | | 2 | | | | 4 |
| | TIME | בו בו בו | SAT WEA | | | | | | | | | |
| | SAT | | | 3 | c | 3 | (| | , 1 | | | 10 |
| | SORTIES ACFT AIRBORNE | | | 5 | ¢ | ζ. | 1 | c | 4 | | , | 14 |
| | ACFT | | | 126 | <u>,</u> | 171 | | 1.28 | 130 | | 1 | TOTAL |


OXCART TOP SECRET

SHARP BLADE 2 AIR REFUELING MISSION

This was the first long training mission planned to closely simulate an operational mission and refuel at a great distance from the local area and/or home base. Legs are approximately 1800 NM between refuelings. This route was first flown in November 1965.

OXCART TOP SECRET

46




47 HANDLE VIA BYEMAN CONTROL SYSTEM

SCATBACK 2 AIR REFUELING MISSION

This mission was developed as an alternate to SHARP BLADE to be used when the weather precluded refueling in the Montana area. Legs are approximately 1800 NM between refuelings. Route was first flown in March 1966, and is regularly scheduled and flown two or three times per week.

OXCART
TOP SECRET

48



OXCART

OP SECRET

49 HANDLE VIA BYEMAN CONTROL SYSTEM

TOP SECRET OXCART


BYE-2737-66

SUGAR SACK 2 AIR REFUELING MISSION

This mission was developed to practice over water rendezvous procedures that would be used an on operational mission. The air refueling area is approximately 450 n.m. off the coast of California and was first used on 28 July 1966. This is presently the only over water refueling area in use and is scheduled regularly for training missions.

OXCART TOP SECRET

50



ί,

A-12 AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT RELIABILITY

The chart opposite reflects the three aircraft accidents which have occurred during the program.

Of interest is the fact that not any of these accidents involved the high Mach number high temperature regime of flight in which this program has spearheaded the state-of-the-art. Also of interest is that two of these accidents occurred in the local home base area within feet of the runway. All of these accidents involved traditional problems inherent in any aircraft. The life environment system ejected the pilot safely in all three of these accidents with two of these ejections occurring a few hundred feet from the ground.

Aircraft 123's accident occurred away from the base on a routine training flight. It involved a plugged pitot static tube during icing conditions resulting in erroneous cockpit instrument indications of air speed.


Aircraft 133's accident occurred during landing approach. It involved a malfunction of the flight control surface actuating system resulting in a continuous and uncontrollable roll.

Aircraft 126's accident occurred during take-off climb-out. It involved a human error wherein the flight line electrician connected the wiring for the yaw and pitch gyros of the stability system in reverse. This resulted in complete uncontrollability of the aircraft.

OXCART
TOD SECRET

A-12 AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT RELIABILITY

--



OXCART TOP SECRET

53


ENGINE RELIABILITY


The accompanying chart presents J58 engine abort reliability. A differentiation is made between aborts which occurred at any time during a flight (complete flight) and those which occurred after climb. The aborts which occurred after climb are considered to be more representative of those which might occur over denied territory. The abort reliability on an after climb basis is better than 99%. This level of reliability is computed on the basis of 4124 J58 engine flights which have taken place since the development of an operable aircraft inlet system on all programs including the A-12, YF-12, and SR-71. Of these 4124 engine flights, only eleven of the aborted flights actually represent instances of complete in-flight loss of power from one engine or involuntary inflight shut down of an engine. Of these eleven instances, seven occurred after climb and prior to landing approach. Design modifications have been developed and successfully flight tested to correct the deficiencies which caused each of these abort situations. The probability of complete loss of power from both engines is extremely low. No J58 powered aircraft has ever failed to return from a flight due to an engine cause.

> OXCART TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET-OXCART

J-58 ENGINE (ABORT) RELIABILITY FOR ENGINE CAUSE





TOP SECRET OXCART

BYE-2737-66

OPERATIONAL SUCCESS RISK SUMMARY

PROBABILITY OF NOT LOSING AIRCRAFT OVER
DENIED TERRITORY ON ANY SPECIFIEL MISSION INCLUDES ALL HAZARDS.

98 PERCENT

PROBABILITY OF MISSION SUCCESS ONCE
AIRCRAFT IS AIRBORNE.

85 PERCENT

PROBABILITY OF SUCCESSFULLY LAUNCHING
ONE AIRCRAFT AT SPECIFIED TIME AND DATE.

85 PERCENT

PROBABILITY OF SUCCESSFUL MISSION AT
SPECIFIED TIME AND DATE.

70 PERCENT

OXCART TOD SECRET

56

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET